

Fiscal Affairs Department

THE DESTINATION-BASED CASH FLOW TAX



Michael Keen

Japan Tax Association and IFA Japan Branch

Tokyo, March 24 2017

Views and opinions should not be attributed to the management or Executive Board of the IMF

Context

- Centerpiece of Republican “*A Better Way*” (‘Brady-Ryan plan’, ‘Blueprint’)
- Proposed by President’s Panel 2005
 - “Growth and investment tax’
- Academics, Alan Auerbach and Michael Devereux
- This presentation is about DBCFT, not US proposals!
 - Draws on Auerbach et al (2017)

2

Outline

- How it Would Work
- Effects and Properties
- Spillovers
- Financial Flows
- Other (Big) Issues

3



HOW IT WOULD WORK

The cash flow tax (CFT) part...

Means:

- Immediate expensing of investment
 - instead of depreciation
- No interest deduction
 - At least for 'R-base' formulation; discuss financial transactions and 'R+F'-base later

5

...which has the attractions:

From the elimination of interest deductions:

- No debt bias—so improves financial stability
- No 'debt shifting'—so alleviates a BEPS concern

No distortion of investment decisions, because base is 'rents' (= profits over minimum required)

– as best seen by example:

6

Why CFT is a tax on rents (closed economy)

- Investor can earn 5 percent holding government debt
- Investment costs 100, yields 105 next year
- Tax rate 20 percent

So present value of tax paid is

$$-20 + \frac{21}{1.05} = 0$$

and after-tax profits positive if and only if pre-tax profits are

NB: CFT is not the only form of rent tax

7

The destination-based (DB) part

‘Border tax adjustment’ (BTA), meaning:

- Receipts from exports are not taxed
- Imports are taxed
 - For consumers, this is final
 - For business, if imports taxed they would be deductible; equivalent to not taxing and not deducting

Return to implications later

- For moment, note similarity with VAT!

8

DB+CFT: An example

	Country A	Country B	Total
Tax rate	20%	30%	
Labour costs	60	0	60
Other costs	40	0	40
Sales	150	150	300
DBCFT tax base	50	150	200
DBCFT charge	10	45	55

Key things to remember

- Not a tariff, in that domestic sales taxed like imports
- To get to DBCFT from 'standard' corporate tax:
 - Remove tax on normal return
 - Border adjust: move tax base from production to consumption
- And:

10

Equivalent to VAT+ wage subsidy

$$\text{DBCFT base} = \underbrace{\text{Domestic sales} - \text{domestic costs}}_{\text{VAT base}} - \text{wages}$$

	Country A	Country B	Total
Tax rate	20%	30%	
Labour costs	60	0	60
Other costs	40	0	40
Sales	150	150	300
DBCFT tax base	50	150	200
DBCFT charge	10	45	55
VAT tax base	110	150	240
VAT charge	22	45	67
Relief for labour costs	-12	0	-12
VAT + relief for labour costs	10	45	55

Financial flows (1): The 'R + F' base

- As under VAT, financial flows pose challenges
- To tax associated rents, tax all financial inflows—principal as well as interest—and deduct all outflows: 'F' base
- Why not just tax net interest?
 - E.g., bank borrows from non-taxpayer, lends to taxpayer
 - If apply to both bank and borrower, aggregate base negative
 - If apply to bank only, taxes bank's rent from transactions with borrower without reducing taxable rent of borrower

Under F, base is PV of rent on flows with depositor 12

Financial flows (2): Integrating into a DBCFT

- F flows between taxpayers net out
 - so apply only to flows with non-taxpayers
- BTA by excluding cross-border financial flows involving taxable entities
 - Like excluding exports and denying deduction for imports
- In principle, need F treatment for financial flows between domestic non-taxpayers and non-residents

13



EFFECTS AND PROPERTIES



Exchange rates and prices

Neutrality of BTA

- Effects of BTA widely studied in VAT literature
 - Equivalent to move from origin to destination-based VAT

“Lerner symmetry”

An import tax is equivalent to an export tax,...

- Both discourage trade

...BTA is equivalent to import tax + export subsidy,...

...which cancel out, leaving no effect on relative prices

NB: Uniform tax rate critical to this

This neutrality can be achieved by:

Either:

- An appreciation of the exchange rate (ER)
 - Offsets tax put on imports and tax removed on exports

or

- An increase in domestic consumer prices and wages
 - Leaving real wage unchanged

or some combination

17

Issues

- Theory is silent on price vs. ER adjustment
 - Depends on relative speed of adjustment
- Views differ on likely speed/extent of offset
- Appreciation could be large, with large spillovers
 - Liabilities (including of sovereigns) and contracts denominated in currency of adopter
 - Unwanted appreciation of currencies pegged to dollar

18



Level and location of investment

Undistorted, so long as ALL adopt DBCFT

- Obvious if countries A and B have DBCFTs at same rate
 - Just like earlier closed economy
- But if A now raises its rate, won't firms want to shift costs to A and sales to B?
No: Price/ER adjustment means offset by
 - Higher consumer prices, wages and input costs in A and/or
 - Reduced A-currency value of sales and costs in B

(Example in Auerbach et al (2017))

20



BEPS issues

Are substantially mitigated if ALL adopt

Transfer pricing:

Currently, incentive to over-state price of exports from high tax country to low

—but under DBCFT, export price irrelevant in both A (not taxed) and B (not deductible)

Intellectual property

Currently, incentive to pay royalties from high tax country to low

—but under DBCFT, royalties paid a non-deductible 'import' and royalties received non-taxable export

22



Tax Revenue

Impact clearer in short than in long run

- Immediate revenue gain for net importer
- Some argue this is a temporary effect, on grounds that BoP deficit cannot be sustained for ever
 - Net imports zero in present value, hence so too is revenue gain
- But some views of the world do allow for permanent deficits
 - E.g. Japanese save when young, Americans consume when young, and both populations are growing

24



Who bears the burden?

Depends (a bit) on nature of the adjustment

If consumer prices and wages adjust:

- Burden is on those spending in the adopter other than from wages there
 - E.g. shareholders, those on fixed nominal incomes

If ER appreciates:

- Burden is on those spending in adopter from income/assets arising in foreign currency
 - E.g. Shareholders in firms with earnings abroad

With impact on foreigners too:

- Gain (loss) if assets (income/liabilities) in currency of adopter

26

But, remember!

Most relevant comparison is not DBCFT in isolation but as replacement for standard CIT

Additional distributional considerations then from:

- Removal of tax on normal return to capital
- Removal of origin-based element of corporate tax

Key issue: To what extent does burden of initial corporate tax fall on labor, capital and consumers

27



SPILLOVERS

BEPS problems amplified for non-adopters

- Adopting country has a standard corporate tax but at a zero statutory rate
- So incentive to shift profit into the adopter, by e.g.
 - Borrowing from affiliate in the adopting country
 - Under-pricing sales to affiliates there
 - Placing IP there
- Options:
 - Protective measures
 - Withholding/denial of deductions?
 - Adopt DBCFT too?

29

Options for non-adopters?

- Links with WTO and treaty issues (below)
- Take protective measures?
 - Withholding?
 - Denial of deductions?
- Adopt DBCFT too?
 - Perhaps by reducing 'standard' corporate tax rate, more reliance on VAT while aiming to reduce labor taxes

30



OTHER (BIG) ISSUES



Legal issues

World Trade Organization Rules

- DBCFT almost certainly WTO-inconsistent
 - Problem in giving wage deduction for domestic production but not imports
- VAT and wage subsidy, however, are individually WTO consistent
- Doubtless smart lawyers work-in on this!

33

Tax treaties

Would DBCFT be

- Covered by treaties?
 - if so, seems in violation: e.g. liability on imports even if not provided from a permanent establishment
- Out of scope?
 - Non-adopters operating credit system would not be obliged to provide credit for tax paid in adopter

34



Implementation issues

Treatment of losses

- Losses even more likely than under VAT
 - because wages deductible
- Failure to provide full refund or equivalent:
 - Undermines neutrality properties
 - Invites avoidance: mergers, import through loss-makers
- Options?
 - Carry forward at interest? Not enough for exporters
 - Credit against other taxes

36

Defining 'destination'

Little examined, but not clear there are new issues

- Enough to follow e.g. OECD VAT/GST Guidelines?
- Bringing B2C imports into tax
 - Practical problems familiar from VAT

37

Further reading

Auerbach, A., M. Devereux, M. Keen and J. Vella (2017), "Destination-based cash flow taxation," Oxford University Centre for Business Taxation Working Paper WP 17/01.

Auerbach, A.J. M.P. Devereux and H. Simpson (2010) "Taxing Corporate Income", in J. Mirrlees *et al* (eds.), *Dimensions of Tax Design: The Mirrlees Review*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 837-893.

Graetz, M., 2017, "The known unknowns of the business tax reform proposed in the House Republican Blueprint," Columbia Law School.

Schön, W. (2016) "Destination-Based Income Taxation and WTO Law: A Note," pp. 429-451 in: H. Jochum , P. Essers , M. Lang , N. Winkeljohann and B. Wiman (eds.) *Practical Problems in European and International Tax Law - Essays in Honour of Manfred Mössner* (Amsterdam, IBFD).